EXHIBIT A

	L4DVSILP
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2 3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. 20 CR 360 (AKH)
5	
	MARTIN SILVER,
6 7	Defendant. REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE (Plea)
9	New York, N.Y. April 13, 2021 10:00 a.m.
10	
	Before:
11	HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN,
12	District Judge
13	
14	APPEARANCES
15	
16	AUDREY STRAUSS, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York
17	NEGAR TEKEEI
18	DREW SKINNER ALEXANDER ROSSMILLER
19	Assistant United States Attorneys
20	NOLA HELLER BRAD BONDI
	Attorneys for Defendant
21	
22	ALSO PRESENT: DAYSHAWN BOSTIC, Pretrial Services
23	
24	
25	

(Remote videoconference) 1 (Case called) 2 3 MS. TEKEEI: Good morning, your Honor. 4 Negar Tekeei, Bruce Skinner, and Alex Rossmiller, on 5 behalf of the United States. 6 MS. HELLER: Good morning, your Honor. 7 It's Nola Heller and Brad Bondi, on behalf of Mr. Silver, who's here with us. 8 9 MR. BONDI: Good morning, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Good morning to both -- to all of you, all three and all two. 11 12 Give me a moment please. 13 (Pause) 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Silver, I understand that 15 you're interested to change your plea from not guilty to 16 quilty; is that correct? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, I'm so sorry. I don't mean 18 19 to interrupt, but we're here for a presentment and the 20 first-time filing of charges against Mr. Silver, who is also 21 entering a quilty plea today pursuant to the agreement that was 22 transmitted to your Honor's chambers yesterday. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Let's start with the CARES Act. 25 So we are doing this by videoconference.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ordinarily, we would be doing it in court. And I'd be on the bench and in my robe, and you would be with your attorney down below, and the U.S. Attorney would be there. But because of the pandemic and the inadvisability of having so many people gather in one place, Congress has authorized us to conduct proceedings virtually, among them by videoconference. So that's why we're here today. You consent to this proceeding, Mr. Silver? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. THE COURT: I find it's in the interest of justice to proceed, so we can take Mr. Silver's plea and move the case along. All right. That's the CARES Act. Second, we need to arraign Mr. Silver. And Brigitte, do you want to do that. THE DEPUTY CLERK: You are Martin Silver? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Have you signed this waiver of indictment? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Before you signed it, did you discuss it with your attorney? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Did your attorney explain it to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they have.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive indictment? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that if you do not waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute you they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which roor may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing this waiver of indictment, you have given up your right to here.	ou,
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive indictment? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that if you do not waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute you they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which romay not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing the property of the	ou,
under no obligation to waive indictment? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that if you do not waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute y they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which r or may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing	ou,
THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that if you do not waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute y they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which r or may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing	ou,
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that if you do not waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute y they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which r or may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing	ou,
not waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute years they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which root or may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing.	ou,
they will have to present this case to a grand jury, which room or may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing.	
or may not indict you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing.	nay
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing.	
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand that by signing	
this waiver of indictment, you have given up your right to h	ıg
	ıave
this case presented to a grand jury?	
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.	
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you understand what a grand p	ury
17 is?	
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Have you seen a copy of the	
20 information?	
21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you waive its public reading?	
	ı
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	ı
THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you plead?	,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entering a plea of guilty. But I guess for the present moment, we'll enter a plea of not guilty. That should be immediately changed at the end of the proceeding.

THE COURT: All right. I understand.

Mr. Silver, as I said before, in order for me to accept a plea of guilty, I have to put you under oath and examine you and, on the basis of that examination, make findings whether your plea is voluntary, whether you understand the consequences, and if there is an independent basis-in-fact to support the plea.

You're under oath; so what you tell me must be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. If you fail to do that, you are exposed to additional penalties.

Shall we proceed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

(Defendant sworn)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name for the record.

THE DEFENDANT: It's Martin S. Silver.

THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. Silver?

THE DEFENDANT: 63 years old.

THE COURT: Are you married?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: Children?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: You're 63 years old, you're married.
2	And how many children do you have?
3	THE DEFENDANT: I have two children; a son who's 28,
4	and my daughter is 34.
5	THE COURT: Any dependents?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Tell me about your education.
10	Did you go to college?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I attended City University of
12	New York, Brooklyn College, and I graduated with a bachelor of
13	science degree in 1979.
14	THE COURT: All right. There's a horn blowing
15	outside.
16	Coming into today, have you had any narcotics or
17	medicines or any other substance that will blur your thinking?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No, I have not, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: You're clear-minded?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Have you told everything about your case
22	to your attorneys?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with their services?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely, your Honor.

I.4DVSTI.P

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are you offering to plead quilty of your own free will or because anyone has -- well, let me withdraw that. Has anyone made any promises to you to cause you to want to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in any way?

No, your Honor. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Are you offering to plead guilty of your own free will because you think it's the best thing for you in the circumstances?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that as a defendant in a criminal case, you are presumed innocent; you can't be found guilty unless the government proves guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and to the satisfaction unanimously of a jury?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And at a trial, you'd have the benefit of counsel. If you couldn't afford a lawyer, we'd provide a lawyer free of charge. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And with the help of a lawyer, you can confront all witnesses, you can cross-examine them, you can require any witness having favorable information to come to the trial, whether they wanted to or not. And you, yourself, could

testify if you wished to testify; but if you didn't want to, you wouldn't have to, and no inference could be drawn against you. Are you aware of all of those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor. Yes, I am.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, you authorize me to sentence you the same way as I would be authorized if a jury brought in a verdict of guilt against you.

Do you understand that as well?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Would the government discuss the plea agreement, please, with Mr. Silver to make sure he understands everything in it.

MS. TEKEEI: Yes, of course, your Honor.

Pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement that the parties have entered, Mr. Silver will be pleading guilty to three counts of the superseding information.

Count One of the superseding information charges

Mr. Silver with conspiracy to commit investment adviser fraud,
securities fraud, and wire fraud.

Count Two of the superseding information charges
Mr. Silver with securities fraud.

And Count Three of the information -- superseding information charges Mr. Silver with wire fraud.

In connection with and pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement -- and this is on page 2 that I am summarizing,

your Honor, page 2 of the agreement -- Mr. Silver is obligated to truthfully and completely disclose all information with respect to the activities themselves and others.

(Inaudible)

THE COURT: Could you go back a little bit, you were cut off.

MS. TEKEEI: No problem.

Your Honor, I'm simply summarizing the terms that are important on page 2.

THE COURT: Before you do that, since you mentioned the three crimes, what are the statutory penalties attached to each?

MS. TEKEEI: Of course.

Your Honor, with respect to Count One, that carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, and a maximum term of supervised release of three years, as well as a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than the defendant resulting from the offense, and a mandatory \$100 special assessment.

Count Two carries with it a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, and the same maximum fine -- I'm sorry, (indiscernible) a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or

twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than the defendant resulting from the offense, as well as a mandatory \$100 special assessment.

Count Three of the information, which charges the defendant with wire fraud, carries a maximum term of 20 years of imprisonment, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than the defendant resulting from the offense, as well as a \$100 mandatory special assessment.

And combined, the total maximum term of imprisonment on Counts One, Two, and Three is 45 years.

THE COURT: And with regard to the supervised release, they come with terms and conditions, which, if violated, would lead to a hearing and the possibility of more jail time.

Do you understand all that, Mr. Silver?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Would you proceed to discuss the sentencing guidelines.

MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, under the terms of this agreement, the parties have not outlined the agreed-upon -- or an agreed-upon sentencing guidelines range. Let's just summarize very briefly the terms on pages 2 and 3 of the plea

agreement.

In summary — and this is memorialized in more detail, and I'm happy to go into it if the Court requires. But in summary, Mr. Silver has agreed to cooperate fully with the government. In exchange for his truthful and complete cooperation, and in exchange for his abiding by all the terms of this plea agreement, at sentencing, the government will inform the Court and the probation office regarding the nature and extent of his cooperation. Among other information, if we determine — and whether we determine that he has provided substantial assistance in the investigation and prosecution of others, and has fully complied with the conditions of his plea agreement, then we will file a motion pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the sentencing guidelines, requesting that the Court sentence him in light of the factors set forth in Section 5K1.1.

THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Silver, that whether you can get the benefit of Section 5K1.1 requires the government to give me a letter attesting to your cooperation. You may be thinking you're cooperating, and the government may think you're not. And I can't do anything about it unless the government gives me the letter. So it's possible that you'll be disappointed. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Once you plead guilty, you are bound. I'm

not. I'm not part of this agreement. I have to make my own decision where the sentencing guidelines apply and how much, if any, I should give credence to the cooperation at sentencing.

All this is to say that you could be disappointed by what I do, but your disappointment will not be grounds for withdrawing your plea of guilty once I accept it.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are there other relevant provisions of the cooperation letter that should be discussed?

MS. TEKEEI: No, your Honor. Unless Mr. Silver or his counsel would like to highlight any of the provisions, not from the government.

MS. HELLER: No, your Honor, I don't have anything.

THE COURT: Mr. Silver, do you have any questions?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, would the government discuss, please, the elements of the crimes and how the government would go about proving the crimes.

MS. TEKEEI: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

As I described earlier, Count One of the superseding information charges Mr. Silver with conspiracy to commit investment adviser fraud, securities fraud, and wire fraud. That conspiracy has three elements.

First, the existence of a conspiracy, that is the

existence of an agreement or understanding to commit unlawful object of the charged conspiracy. Here, there were three objects to commit:

One, investment adviser fraud; two, securities fraud; and third, wire fraud.

The second element is that the defendant willingly and knowingly became a member of the conspiracy.

And the third element is that any one of the co-conspirators in the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one overt act in the Southern District of New York, in furtherance of the conspiracy, during the life of the conspiracy.

I will go into the securities fraud and wire fraud, the elements of the object of the conspiracy, in a moment, because the elements are the same as the substantive offenses in Counts Two and Three. But with respect to the investment adviser fraud, object of the conspiracy, those elements are:

First, that the defendant was an investment adviser; second, that the defendant did one of the following:

- A. Employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud an actual or prospective investor advisory client;
- B. Engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon those investment advisory clients or prospective investment advisory clients;

Or C. Engaged in an act, practice, and course of business that was fraudulent, deceptive, and manipulative.

The third element is that the defendant devised or participated in such an alleged device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, or engaged in the transaction, practice, or course of business knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud.

And fourth, that the defendant employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, or engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business by use of the mails or an instrumentality of interstate commerce.

So now I'll go into Count Two, securities fraud, and the elements of securities fraud, which have three.

In connection with -- the first being in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, the defendant did any one or more of the following:

- A. Employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- B. Made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which made what was said under the circumstances misleading;
- Or C. Engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.

Third -- I'm sorry, second, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud.

And third, that the defendant used or caused to be

used any means or instruments of transportation, communication in interstate commerce at the facilities of a national securities exchange or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

And finally, your Honor, Count Three of the superseding information which charges wire fraud has three elements:

First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or obtain money or property by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises; second, that defendant knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud, with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud; and third, in the execution of that scheme, the defendant used or caused the use of the interstate or foreign wires.

And your Honor, with respect to venue, the government would have to prove for each of these counts that there was an act in furtherance of either the scheme to defraud or the conspiracy here in the Southern District of New York. And in this case, the investment adviser, IIG, was located here in Manhattan. And Mr. Silver took various actions in furtherance of the scheme from (indiscernible). And in addition, there were email communications and wire communications sent to and from the Southern District of New York, in furtherance of the charged offenses.

With respect --

THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. TEKEEI: No problem.

I was just going to say, your Honor, with respect to the government's proof at trial, were this case to go to trial, the government would establish the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by, among other things, email communications, loan and business records, and other documents related to the fraud, as well as witness testimony from individuals associated with the investment adviser, IIG, and its (indiscernible).

And the evidence would show that the facts — the evidence would prove beyond a reasonable doubt the charges in the information; but specifically, that, as a cofounder, managing partner, and chief operating officer of IIG, which is a registered investment adviser, from approximately 2007 through 2019, Mr. Silver conspired with others to defraud investors in IIG—managed funds by overvaluing loans, creating fake loans, transferring overvalued and fake loans between IIG and advised funds, and using the proceeds from those fraudulent sales to generate what would be required to pay off earlier investors.

In furtherance of the fraud, Mr. Silver and his co-conspirators sent emails, other foreign and interstate wire communications with victims, borrowers, and others from the Southern District of New York.

And the evidence would also show that this conduct was
in connection with the purchase and sale of securities; among
other things, a retail mutual fund, shares of IIG-advised funds
and obligations issued by a collateralized loan obligation that
were securities all involved.
THE COURT: What was the magnitude of the fraud?
MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, as we stated in connection
with the plea proceeding of Mr. Silver's co-conspirator, which
is a matter of public record, it was in the hundreds of
millions of dollars.
THE COURT: Was that the amount that was lost by
investors?
MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, forgive me, I can't remember
immediately the amount that we have estimated previously. I
can find that very quickly with the Court's permission.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
(Pause)
MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, I want to be careful about
answering your question.
THE COURT: Order it in the sense of magnitude, order
of magnitude, the number doesn't have to be precise.
MS. TEKEEI: Understood, your Honor.
It is in the range of 65 million to \$150 million.

MS. TEKEEI: 150.

THE COURT: \$65 million to \$100 million were lost?

1	THE COURT: Say it again please.
2	MS. TEKEEI: It is in the the loss amount is in the
3	range of \$65 million to \$150 million.
4	THE COURT: And how many people lost this amount of
5	money?
6	MS. TEKEEI: There were multiple institutional
7	investors. And so it is an amount that is greater than ten.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to tell me
9	about the letter that you wrote to the government to the
10	defendant?
11	MS. TEKEEI: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	Mr. Silver, you signed that agreement?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I don't have it before me; I can only show
16	on my screen a picture. I can't pull up the document. But is
17	Mr. Silver's signature on it as of today?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I don't know if you
19	can see this.
20	THE COURT: We'll mark that as Exhibit 1, and the
21	government will retain it, give me a copy marked Exhibit A for
22	trial Exhibit A for this proceeding.
23	Are there any questions you have, Mr. Silver, about
24	the descriptions made by the government?
25	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Does defense counsel have any questions
2	about the proofs and the elements of the crimes?
3	MS. HELLER: We do not, your Honor. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Do you believe that the government can
5	prove a <i>prima facie</i> case against Mr. Silver?
6	MS. HELLER: We do believe that, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Do you know of any defenses that could
8	trump those proofs?
9	MS. HELLER: We don't, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Silver, are you offering to plead
11	guilty to each of the three counts because you believe that you
12	are, in fact, guilty of each of the three counts?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Would you tell me what you did to make you
15	guilty of each of these three counts. And if you have a
16	statement, work out with your lawyer (indiscernible).
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
18	From approximately 2007 through 2019, in Manhattan and
19	elsewhere, together with
20	THE COURT: Excuse me. Is that 2007 or 2017?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Seven. Seven, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: 2007.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Through 2019, in Manhattan and
24	elsewhere, together with another person identified in the
25	information as Co-conspirator 1, and while acting as an

investment adviser, I intentionally and knowingly participated in a scheme to overvalue distressed loans and IIG funds and falsify paperwork to create a series of fake loans.

During the time period of the conspiracy, I came to learn that some of these loans — some of these overvalued and fake loans were sold to a collateralized loan obligation trust. Some of the proceeds from those sales were then used to pay off earlier investors.

Through these and other actions, using interstate wires and the mail, the scheme concealed the true value of IIG funds from investors, and transferred fake and overvalued loans between funds for which IIG provided investment advisory services, at the same time receiving management and performance fees.

This conduct occurred in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, including IIG-advised funds and securities issued by the collateralized loan obligation trust.

THE COURT: You said you came to realize what was happening. Did you know it all along? Did you know that there were inflations made by your company of loans and assets from 2017 -- from 2007? Excuse me.

MS. HELLER: Your Honor, may we just have a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause)

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I was aware of some of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them at the onset; but then later on, I became aware of other loans that were done by members -- Co-conspirator 1 and other members of the IIG staff with regard to establishing additional fake loans. And so I only found out about some of them later on. THE COURT: Were these loans and inflations -withdrawn. Were the inflations of these loans done at your command? THE DEFENDANT: No, they were not. THE COURT: Who was in charge of that aspect of the trip of the business? THE DEFENDANT: Co-conspirator 1 was the chief investment officer and managed the credit team. THE COURT: Is that Mr. Hu? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. And members of his team. THE COURT: And were you and Mr. Hu essentially partners? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we are -- yes, we were. THE COURT: Did you know generally that Mr. Hu was commanding the overstatement of the asset values? THE DEFENDANT: As I mentioned earlier, your Honor, I knew of some at the onset, and then later came to know that there were significantly more loans that were being overstated.

THE COURT: Did you and Mr. Hu have an agreement to

overstate loans?

THE DEFENDANT: Only with regard to the initial loans, not with regard to subsequent loans.

THE COURT: But when did you find out subsequently that loans, after the initial loans, were being inflated in value?

THE DEFENDANT: It was perhaps 2000 -- your Honor, I can't tell you definitively, but subsequent to 2014, and the bulk of them subsequent to sometime during the second half of 2017.

THE COURT: Did you do anything to discipline the person who was creating those inflations?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I made various attempts to get -- to identify what the true values were, but was unsuccessful.

THE COURT: How did you try to do that?

THE DEFENDANT: At various times I -- well, I met with my co-conspirator's staff to try to identify what the true values were. I made various attempts to bring in a forensic -- to bring in forensic accountants to review the portfolios and identify what the true values were. And that was on several occasions. I also engaged -- an individual came in as a consultant to try to assist in that, as well. All of those attempts -- all of those efforts were unsuccessful.

THE COURT: What caused you to bring in the forensic

accountants and the consultant?

THE DEFENDANT: I was -- well, with respect to the forensics, I was attempting to identify what the true value was, or was at that time with respect to various loans. And then with respect to the consultant, we ended up bringing him in order to maximize the value of the portfolios, look into the various loans, and try to wind down each of the portfolios to maximize the -- to maximize the amount that could repaid -- to be repaid to the investors.

THE COURT: When were these false loans made? Withdrawn.

When would this false inflation occur, start in 2007, and you said you were aware of them.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I was -- yes, I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you said you were not aware that they were continuing?

THE DEFENDANT: No, no, I was aware that they were continuing with respect to those initial loans. I was not aware that there were other loans in other portfolios especially that were also at issue, your Honor.

THE COURT: And when you did find out, did you complain about it to anybody?

THE DEFENDANT: Only internally, your Honor, not -- and I --

THE COURT: Did you complain to Mr. Hu?

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor.
               THE COURT: What did he say?
 2
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: I can't -- your Honor, I can't tell
 4
      you specifically what he said, but essentially he ignored --
 5
               THE COURT: To the best of your memory.
6
               THE DEFENDANT: He basically ignored -- he ignored any
 7
      of my pleas with him, as well as with his staff.
               THE COURT: Did you think of quitting?
8
9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, many times, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: But you stayed on knowing that the
11
      organization was continuing to inflate asset values in order to
12
      obtain investors?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: At that time, your Honor,
14
      respectfully, I didn't -- I didn't -- we were not soliciting
15
      any additional funds. What my attempts, you know, for many
      years was to -- was to attempt to maximize a value that would
16
17
      be repaid back to investors. And all my efforts were -- you
18
      know, for many years were towards that end, your Honor. And I
19
      felt that that was -- and -- and that -- I felt that that was
20
      the -- I truly believed that investors would be best served by
21
     my staying onboard and trying to do that, rather than just
22
      leaving.
23
               THE COURT: I'm told that the amount of loss is
24
     between $65 million and $150 million. Was this attributable to
25
      the loans at the outset or the loans throughout?
```

THE DEFENDANT: A portion of that are attributable to 1 the loans at the onset; but I believe the vast majority would 2 3 be related to subsequent events, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Do you feel that you are responsible for 5 those loans at a time that you didn't know about them? 6 MS. HELLER: Your Honor, can you give us one moment? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 (Pause) 9 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I do take responsibility 10 certainly for the initial loans. And -- and it was my company; 11 I did become aware of the fraudulent loans, so I do take 12 responsibility for those as well. 13 THE COURT: You were 50 percent owner of the company? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, it was 50-50. 15 THE COURT: And is it your testimony that a large bulk of this overinflation was done under the direction of Mr. Hu 16 17 and not -- and you didn't know anything about it at the time? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Did you know that the investments that 20 were coming into IIG were being used to pay off debts to other 21 previous investors? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Did you know that the purpose of obtaining 24 additional investors was to get funds to pay off the earlier 25 investors?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: And did you know that all of this was done
3	in connection with the purchase of sale of securities?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Does the government have any comments or
6	questions?
7	MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, I think that this was implied
8	by what Mr. Silver said, but the Court asked Mr. Silver some
9	questions about whether he reported or complained about certain
10	of the activities that happened at least initially without his
11	knowledge.
12	The government would respectfully request that the
13	Court confirm with Mr. Silver that he did not raise those
14	fraudulent activities to law enforcement until it became in
15	connection with his current the events that led to his
16	current plea.
17	THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand you completely.
18	Why don't you ask the questions.
19	MS. TEKEEI: Sure.
20	And I can no longer see Mr. Silver; I just want to
21	make sure they are still on.
22	THE COURT: Yes, they are on.
23	Repeat what you said. I'll ask the questions.
24	MS. TEKEEI: No problem. I just wanted to
25	THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. TEKEEI: The question is that when Mr. Silver
became aware of additional fraudulent loans and overvalued
loans, whether he raised that fact with the authorities, law
enforcement authorities, at the time, and -- or continued to
participate in the scheme.

THE COURT: What time period? One minute, Mr. Silver.
What time period?

MS. TEKEEI: I don't think he clarified exactly the
time period that he became aware of the additional fraudulent

time period that he became aware of the additional fraudulent activities, so I don't want to inject that fact into his statement. But at the point when he became aware, whether he immediately notified law enforcement or I think, as he said, he dealt with it or attempted to dealt with it internally.

THE DEFENDANT: No, I did not inform law enforcement or any other regulatory body.

MS. TEKEEI: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: He's saying that he tried to deal with it internally to stop the practice and to try to make good to the people who were defrauded. Is that right, Mr. Silver? Is that what you're saying?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the government agree with that?

MS. TEKEEI: We have no additional questions, your

Honor.

THE COURT: Do you agree with what Mr. Silver just

said?

MS. TEKEEI: It is our understanding that he did attempt to deal with the fraudulent activities that he and his co-conspirators engaged in internally; and that he did not raise the fraud or the fake loans either to law enforcement or to the victim investors until it became in connection with this proceeding or the proffers that led to this proceeding, which was well after the scheme -- which was during the time period of the scheme, but toward its conclusion.

THE COURT: Do you believe that the inflation that occurred to your knowledge at the early period was wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you believe that you were wrong to have participated in the inflation of assets as a means to overstate the value of your company to obtain investments from investors?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I do.

THE COURT: And I think you said that you're pleading guilty because you believe you are, in fact, guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the government have anymore questions for me to ask?

MS. TEKEEI: We do not, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Does defense counsel?

MS. HELLER: No, we do not, your Honor.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. Silver, I find that your pleas to each of the three counts are voluntarily made; that you understand the consequences; and that there is an independent basis-in-fact to support each of the three pleas of guilty, one plea of guilty to each of the three counts. Accordingly, I accept your plea of guilty to Counts One, Two, and Three of the information. And I instruct the clerk to enter the plea of guilty to those counts.

What will be the control date for sentencing, Ms. Jones?

THE DEFENDANT: It has to be after October.

MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, my apologies for interrupting.

The Court asked me whether we had any additional questions. I'm looking at my list, and I do not recall whether Mr. Silver admitted to the forfeiture allegations that are in the superseding information. It's memorialized in the plea agreement, but we just respectfully request that additional items be handled now.

THE COURT: How much is the obligation?

MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, we do not (indiscernible) yet with respect to Mr. Silver, as our investigation is ongoing. He is at least forfeiting the amounts related to a check that's listed in the plea agreement to the amount of approximately \$6 million that was made to the Venezuela Recovery Fund, in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addition to additional amounts that are proceeds traceable to the offenses. But we have not, with respect to Mr. Silver, calculated that final amount. It is a general allegation with respect to the balance.

THE COURT: Well, if I ask him how much he agrees to, don't I have to give him an order of magnitude?

MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, that's not our understanding.

Pursuant to the forfeiture provision, it is sufficient for him to admit to the forfeiture allegations. And then in connection with Mr. Silver's sentencing, he will present the Court with an order of forfeiture for the Court to enter.

THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Silver, that there is a forfeiture aspect to this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And that the government will be enabled to forfeit that amount of your assets, which could be traceable directly or indirectly to the illegal gains you've made?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you agree to them? Do you agree --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- performing these forfeiture acts?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

MS. TEKEEI: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any further questions?

MS. TEKEEI: No. Thank you.

25

1 THE COURT: Brigitte, let's get a control date for Mr. Silver's sentencing. 2 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Did I hear a mention of it had to 4 be after October? MS. HELLER: That would be our preference. Yes, 5 6 Brigitte, Ms. Jones, that would be our preference. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: That's good, Judge? 8 THE COURT: I don't have any --9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All right. So November --10 THE COURT: Just a minute. 11 The date is not going to be the actual date of 12 sentencing. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Understood, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: If we're going to give a date that late, 15 we should consider the real date of sentencing, shouldn't we? Otherwise, we would find a date three months off, with the 16 17 expectation that it will be postponed. 18 MS. HELLER: We would defer to the government then, 19 your Honor, on that. 20 MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, it is the government's 21 preference that the Court set a control date for six months 22 from now. And we will provide the Court with a further update 23 as to whether we need to push that back closer to the six-month time frame. 24

THE COURT: So you want a date six months hence.

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: I've got November 16th at 11. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: And you guys will let me know when 4 I need to order the PSR; correct? 5 MS. TEKEEI: Yes, we will. 6 THE DEFENDANT: What's a PSR? 7 THE COURT: PSR is a presentence investigative report conducted by probation. So on every defendant or almost every 8 9 defendant, the probation department of this court will do an 10 investigation and report to me, with copies to your counsel, 11 about aspects of your prior history, your family, your work 12 history, everything that's relevant to the sentencing. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Analysis of the sentencing guidelines. 15 And you'll be interviewed in connection with that. So at this point I want the government to provide a 16 17 copy of the transcript to the probation officer before the 18 interview; and the probation officer is instructed before the interview to advise defense counsel, so if they wish to 19 20 (indiscernible). 21 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge, I think you have to do a 22 Brady order. 23 THE COURT: I did a Brady order in this case. 24 MS. HELLER: You have not, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Oh, all right. So we will enter one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the defense, before it pleads quilty, is entitled to a representation by the government that all documents required to be produced under the case of Brady v. Maryland and under the Jencks Act and under Rule 16, have, in fact, been produced. Do you so represent? MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, the government, just to be clear, has not produced discovery to Mr. Silver because of his express intention to enter a guilty plea pursuant to the cooperation agreement with the government and to the filing of an information. Nonetheless, we are not aware of any Brady material or information that Mr. Silver does not already possess. THE COURT: They not already possess. Is it the government's obligation to produce those documents? MS. TEKEEI: Certainly, your Honor. My apologies. THE COURT: All Brady documents, all Giglio documents? MS. TEKEEI: It is, your Honor. Let me just be clear. And I think counsel for Mr. Silver can also confirm. We are not aware of any Brady material or information with respect to Mr. Silver. THE COURT: Or Giglio. MS. TEKEEI: That's correct, your Honor.

MS. HELLER: Your Honor, we, of course, don't have

THE COURT: Defense counsel?

access to *Giglio* materials; but we do have access to a large amount of materials related to the case. And based on our access to those materials, your Honor, we aren't aware of any *Brady* materials.

THE COURT: It has not been produced.

MS. HELLER: We haven't received any productions from the government.

THE COURT: Is that sufficient?

MS. TEKEEI: I'm sorry, I didn't catch that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that sufficient?

MS. TEKEEI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Just to be clear, the government is representing that all *Brady* material has been produced — including *Giglio* material, has been produced to the defendant or steps have been taken to assure that the defense has what would be all *Brady* and *Giglio* material. Is that your representation?

MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, because we have not produced any material to Mr. Silver, I can't say that we have produced all *Brady* or *Giglio* material. However, we have reviewed our file, including our interviews of other — of witnesses and other investigative files in this case, and we are not aware of any *Brady* or *Giglio* material with respect to Mr. Silver.

THE COURT: Okay. You so represent.

1	MS. TEKEEI: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. You're familiar with the terms of
3	the Brady order that's been used in the court?
4	MS. TEKEEI: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: And would you say that you comply with it?
6	MS. TEKEEI: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	Again, I accept the plea as voluntary. Mr. Silver
9	understands the consequences, and there is an independent
10	basis-in-fact to support the plea. I accept the plea of
11	guilty. The clerk will enter a plea of guilty to each of the
12	three counts.
13	We have a date for sentencing. I think we've covered
14	everything, so
15	THE DEPUTY CLERK: No, Judge. Bail.
16	THE COURT: What is it?
17	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Bail. They need to issue an order
18	for bail.
19	THE COURT: What's the situation with bail?
20	MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor sorry, I don't mean to
21	interrupt the Court.
22	The parties have a proposal, a joint proposal, for the
23	Court's consideration that I'm happy to outline now.
24	THE COURT: Why are you waiting?
25	MS. TEKEEI: Your Honor, I actually just wanted to

confirm with my (indiscernible) list that I get all of them correct; and I was just making sure I have the correct page in front of me, your Honor.

The first term of the bail proposal, the first condition is a \$500,000 personal recognizance bond cosigned by two financially responsible persons; travel restricted to the District of New Jersey, the Southern District of New York, the District of Connecticut, and the Southern District of Florida; surrender of all travel documents, including passports --

THE COURT: Did you include the Eastern District?

MS. TEKEEI: I did not, but we have no objection if that is a standard condition the Court would like to include.

MS. HELLER: Yes, we would request that, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: I mean, if he's going to a restaurant in Brooklyn, you don't want him to be violated.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: The geographical limitation is New Jersey, Connecticut, the Southern District of New York, and the Eastern District of New York.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ TEKEEI: And also the Southern District of Florida.

Surrender of all travel documents, including a passport, and no new travel documents; pretrial services supervision as directed by pretrial services; reporting to the

```
United States Marshals Service at 500 Pearl Street today,
1
      immediately after this proceeding for arrest processing;
 2
 3
      release on Mr. Silver's own signature today, with the remaining
 4
      conditions to be met by April 21st; and --
 5
               THE COURT: You say that Mr. Silver signed the
      $500,000 bond today, but doesn't have to provide the
6
 7
      financially responsible people until when?
               MS. TEKEEI: It's a $500,000 bond, your Honor.
8
9
               THE COURT: 500,000.
10
               MS. TEKEEI: To be signed --
11
               (Indiscernible crosstalk)
12
               THE COURT: -- responsible people -- has to be
13
      supplied by when?
               MS. TEKEEI: Yes, your Honor.
14
15
               THE COURT: By when?
16
               MS. TEKEEI: April 21st.
17
               THE COURT: April when?
18
               MS. TEKEEI: 21.
19
               THE COURT: Okay.
20
               MS. TEKEEI: Refraining from any contact with any
21
      known co-conspirators, unless in the presence of counsel; and
22
      refraining from the conduct alleged in the charging instrument.
23
               THE COURT: Are those accepted by the defense?
24
               MS. HELLER: Yes, your Honor, they are.
25
               And we would have one additional request, that his --
```

it doesn't need to be written into the bond, but that we would ask your Honor for Mr. Silver and his wife have a preplanned trip to South Carolina that was planned for between April 29th and May 15th of this year. So we would ask the Court's permission for Mr. Silver to travel to the District of South Carolina for that two-week period.

THE COURT: Permission is granted on condition that he supply in advance the details of his flights and locations to the (indiscernible).

MS. HELLER: Yes. They'll be driving, and we'll provide all of those details to probation.

THE COURT: Okay.

And does probation know about -- whereabouts in the Southern District of Florida?

MS. HELLER: Mr. Silver and his wife occasionally will travel to the area of Miami, to the area of Surfside, to the area of Palm Beach; but there are no current plans scheduled. If those plans are scheduled, Mr. Silver will notify his probation officer.

THE COURT: So any flights to the Southern District of Florida will be preceded and conditioned upon giving the pertinent itinerary and location information to the probation department.

MS. HELLER: Yes, your Honor.

Mr. Silver will do that.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
2	MS. HELLER: Nothing from defense.
3	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Can you guys submit a bail order so
4	I can send to magistrate's, so they'll have it when he gets
5	there?
6	MS. TEKEEI: Yes.
7	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Just send me an email with the
8	order.
9	MS. TEKEEI: No problem.
10	THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else?
11	Government, anything else?
12	Does the government have anything else?
13	MS. TEKEEI: No, not from the government.
14	No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Defense have anything else?
16	MS. HELLER: We do not, your Honor.
17	Thank you very much.
18	THE COURT: Okay. The bail package is so-ordered.
19	Thank you, all. Good-bye.
20	* * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	